

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,987	11/03/2003	Wing-Kee Philip Cho	025444.1059-US02	5359
	7590 04/05/2007 & BURLING, LLP	EXAMINER		
ATTN: PATEN	NT DOCKETING	SHEIKH, HUMERA N		
1201 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2401			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•	1615		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS 04/05/2007		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary Examiner		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Humera N. Sheikh - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE £ MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the providence of 37 CPR 1.1364, in no wornt, however, may a reply be limely filed alter SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - IN Operiod for reply is pecified above, the maintenine statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure is reply within the sactor extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANCONED (55 U.S.C. § 133). - Failure is only within the sactor extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANCONED (55 U.S.C. § 133). - Failure is only within the sactor extended period for reply will. by statute, cause the application to become ABANCONED (55 U.S.C. § 133). - Failure is only the saction is communication (s) filed on 28 April 2006. - The saction is FINAL. - The saction is FINAL. - The saction is FINAL. - The saction is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. - Disposition of Claims - Alpha Claim(s) 42-120 is/are pending in the application. - 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. - 5 Claim(s) 42-120 is/are allowed. - 6 Claim(s) is/are rejected. - 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to: - 8 Claim(s) 42-120 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. - Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). - Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). - 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		10/699,987	CHO, WING-KEE PHILIP			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estatistics of time may be variable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1364). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period or reply is spikeride above, the mailing date of this communication. If NO period or reply is public date ober the mailing date of this communication. If NO period or reply spikeride above, the mailing date of this communication. If NO period or reply spikeride above, the mailing date of this communication. If NO period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statule, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). An arrand patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 April 2006. 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This action is non-final. 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ■ Claim(s) 42-120 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ■ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are ablected to by the Examiner. 10) ■ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ■ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ■ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ■ accepted or b) ■ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. Sea 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ■ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-1	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ■ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely lifed after \$1 X (9) (MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. ■ IN NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. ■ IN NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. ■ IN NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication to become ASANDONED (32 S.2.§ 133). Aver reply received by the Cities date than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reflect any examined patent turn adjustment. Sea 37 CFR 1.704(a). Status 1)						
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuse, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 135). Any reply reply to provide by the Dictor later than three months efter the mailing date of this communication, even if simply filed, may reduce any examely patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 April 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 42-120 is/are pending in the application. 4) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to By the Examiner. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) because the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage applicat		pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 April 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 42-120 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are epicted. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 42-120 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE = Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value = Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute = Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 42-120 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 42-120 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Status					
4)	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☒ Claim(s) 42-120 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Disposition of Claims					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	vn from consideration.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application Papers					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing (s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 					
		•				
Attachment(s)	Attachment(s)		•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te			

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Application

Claims 42-120 are pending in this action. Claims 42-120 are subject to an Election/Restriction requirement.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 42-71, drawn to a solid composition comprising an immediate release layer of desloratedine and a sustained release layer of pseudoephedrine, classified

in class 424, subclass 472.

II. Claims 72-120, drawn to a solid composition comprising desloratadine, classified

in class 424, subclass 465.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The invention of Group I (claims 42-71) is distinct from the invention of Group II (claims 72-120). Group I is drawn to a solid composition comprising (i) an immediate release layer of desloratedine and two pharmaceutically acceptable antioxidants; and (ii) a sustained release layer of pseudoephedrine and (iii) a sustained release agent, wherein the total amount of desloratedine degradation products in the solid composition is less than or equal to about 2% by weight. The

invention of Group II is drawn to a solid composition comprising desloratedine and at least one

pharmaceutically acceptable antioxidant. The inventions are distinct because they are comprised

Page 3

of different components and are structurally different, as well. The invention of Group I is a *multi*-layered, *sustained release* formulation that requires *two* active ingredients, desloratadine & pseudoephedrine in combination with two antioxidants, and a sustained release agent, whereas, in contrast, the invention of Group II is a *single*-layered, *non*-sustained or non-controlled release formulation that requires only *one* active agent – desloratadine and does not require pseudoephedrine, as does the invention of Group I claims. The invention of Group I requires *two* pharmaceutically acceptable antioxidants, whereas the invention of Group II requires *at least one* antioxidant. The invention of Group II is also devoid of any sustained release agent, whereas Group I requires a sustained release agent. The different inventions would thus have different inventions would also require different searches in both patent- and non-patent databases and there is no expectation that the searches would be coextensive in scope. This creates an undue burden on the Examiner. Thus, the restriction/election requirement is deemed proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Note: If Applicant chooses to elect **Group I** (claims 42-71), then the following further election of species is required:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Method of treatment:

- (a) Method of treating allergic or inflammatory conditions of upper/lower airway passages;
- (b) Method of treating the signs and symptoms of nasal congestion;
- (c) Method of treating the signs and symptoms of urticaria;
- (d) Method of treating nasal and non-nasal symptoms of perennial or seasonal allergic rhinitis.

The species are independent or distinct because each of groups (a-d) are drawn towards different methods of treatment, which may require different mechanisms of treatment and/or different drugs used to provide for the treatment.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,987

Art Unit: 1615

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 5

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

* * * * *

Note: If Applicant chooses to elect **Group II** (claims 72-120), then the following further election of species is required:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Method of treatment:

- (a) Method of treating allergic or inflammatory conditions of upper/lower airway passages;
- (b) Method of treating the signs and symptoms of urticaria;
- (c) Method of treating nasal and non-nasal symptoms of perennial or seasonal allergic rhinitis.

The species are independent or distinct because each of groups (a-c) are drawn towards different methods of treatment, which may require different mechanisms of treatment and/or different drugs used to provide for the treatment.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

A telephone call was made to Paul J. Berman on 02/28/07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Humera N. Sheikh whose telephone number is (571) 272-0604. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael P. Woodward can be reached on (571) 272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HUMERA N SHEIKH PRIMARY EXAMINER

> Art Unit 1615 March 22, 2007

Hns